UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,315	01/09/2002	Detlef Teichner	West.6268	9488
50811 O"Shea Getz P.	7590 09/14/200 C.		EXAMINER	
1500 MAIN ST			CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
SPRINGFIELD, MA 01115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,315	TEICHNER, DETLEF	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUMAIYA A. CHOWDHURY	2421	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed to the second	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 €</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the specific states are considered.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/890,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 2421

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, see Arguments, filed 6/8/09, with respect to claims 1-20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Office Action of 11/12/08 has been withdrawn.

(a) In the arguments, Applicant argues that the Office Action fails to consider the invention as a whole, and does not give the proper technical definition to the claimed bit stream decoder and demultiplexer.

The Examiner has withdrawn the previous Office Action and has applied new art which reads better on the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 10, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits (6262776) in view of Sebestyen (6236805).

As for claim 1, Griffits discloses:

a data source (fig. 2) for compressed audio and video digital data, where the bit positions for the audio or video data are collected together in several connected component bit groups, the data source including:

a demultiplexer (splitter filter 206) to separate the compressed audio and compressed video data in one compressed signal (col. 11, lines 57-65);

a bit stream decoder (audio decoder 208) to decode the compressed audio data (col. 12, lines 11-13),

an audio buffer (audio buffer 212) for intermediately storing the separated audio data (col. 12, lines 13-27),

a bit rate converter (video decoder 216) to recode the compressed video data (The SPEC defines the bit rate converter as a component which reduces data during bit rate conversion; Griffits teaches the video data is decoded (converted) and that some frames are dropped in order to help maintain synchronization with the audio data being played. By dropping frames, data reductions occurs. Col. 12, lines 28-40),

a video buffer (video frame buffer 220) for intermediately storing the separated video data (col. 15, lines 29-38),

a control unit (audio renderer 210 & video renderer 218) that is connected to the audio buffer and the video buffer, and which specifies and controls the adjustable intermediate storage time of the buffers (col. 12, lines 11-67, col. 15, lines 29-39).

However, Griffits fails to disclose:

a bus interface that inserts the audio data and the video data into their corresponding component bit groups;

In an analogous art, Sebestyen a bus interface (Multimedia Multiplex – fig. 1) that inserts the audio data and the video data into their corresponding component bit groups (col. 2, lines 33-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits' invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Sebestyen, for the advantage of synchronizing the audio and video data such that when the viewer views the video, the audio corresponds to the video being watched.

Regarding claim 10, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose the data source comprises a DVD player, however the examiner takes Official Notice that it was well known at the time of the applicants invention to use DVD players as sources for compressed video such as commercial/theatrical releases of movies and audiovisual entertainment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Griffits and Sebestyen to include a DVD player (as evidenced by Nakatsugawa US 6,408,011 B1 – col. 9, lines 15-20 & 39) for the advantage of providing commercially available and inexpensive entertainment media of high quality.

Claim 19 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim.

Art Unit: 2421

4. Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ng.

As for claim 2, Griffits and Sebestyen disclose where the bus interface inserts the decoded audio data, the recoded video data, and the recoded other data into their corresponding component bit groups (analyzed as discussed above in claim 1).

However, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose:

the data source for compressed audio and video data comprises a data source for other compressed data where the demultiplexer separates the other compressed data from the compressed audio data and the video data, and wherein the data source further comprises

a second bit rate converter for recoding the other compressed data; and a data buffer for intermediately storing the separated other data.

In an analogous art, Ng teaches the data source for compressed audio and video data 510 (Ng - fig. 5) comprises a data source for other (auxiliary) compressed data (delta Y, delta I, delta Q – Ng fig.5) where the demultiplexer separates the other compressed data from the compressed audio data and the video data (Ng – col. 5, lines 43-49; col. 2, lines 14-15, 22-25), and wherein the data source further comprises

Art Unit: 2421

a second bit rate converter 542 (Ng – fig. 5) for recoding the other compressed data (col. 7, lines 34-36 & col. 7, lines 60-66; in which a compressed video source of variable bit rate is converted/recoded to a constant data rate for multiplexing with audio and control data – fig. 6), and

a data buffer (518 – fig. 5; 625 – fig. 6) for intermediately storing the separated other data (col. 5, lines 50-56; col. 7, 38-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Ng, for the advantage of properly synchronizing signals which may exhibit different data rates.

Regarding claim 12, Griffits, Sebestyen, and Ng teach a control unit connected to the audio buffer, the video buffer, and the other data buffer, that specifies and controls the adjustable intermediate storage time of the audio, video and other buffers as discussed in claims 1 and 2 above.

As for claim 5, Griffits and Ng discloses analytical units associated with the bit stream decoder and the bit rate converters, where the analytical units determine a time relation of the compressed video data with respect to the compressed audio data, and where the analytical units are connected to the control unit to specify the intermediate storage times of the audio, video and other buffers (Griffits discloses the analytical units

are the components which synchronize the video data with the audio data. col. 12, lines 11-67, col. 15, lines 29-39. Ng discloses synchronizing data with other buffers as discussed in claim 2).

As for claim 6, teach the control unit controls the bit stream decoder and the bit rate converters to synchronize the time relation between the decoded audio data and the recoded video data (Griffits; analyzed as discussed above in claim 1) and the recoded other data (Ng; analyzed as discussed above in claim 2).

Claim 8 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 8 additionally discloses the following:

The bit rate conversion process performed by the bit rate converter is in dependence on one of the resolution and the size of a display in the associated data sink for video data (Ng – col. 5, lines 19-29).

It would have been obvious to provide bit rate reduction depending on the resolution of the receiver's display because it improves bandwidth efficiency by eliminating excessive bit rates while still providing a high quality image and minimizes receiver/decoder cost because the device will not require the more expensive equipment used to decode high bit rates.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wakai.

As for claim 3, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose at least one of the audio and video buffers is situated before the bus interface.

In an analogous art, Wakai teaches at least one of the audio and video buffers is situated before the bus interface (col. 24, lines 39-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Wakai, for the advantage of reducing compression/decompression errors, i.e., "jitter", by synchronizing the rates of operation of data source/subscriber and the transmission network.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kawamura.

As for claim 4, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose at least one of the audio and video buffers is interposed between the demultiplexer and the bit stream decoder and the bit rate converter associated with the audio and video buffers.

In an analogous art Kawamura teaches at least one buffer (6,9, and 12 – fig. 1) is interposed between the demultiplexer 5 and the bit stream decoders 8,11, 14 for synchronizing the signals based on decoding time stamps detected (par. 103, 165, 167, 22).

Art Unit: 2421

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Griffits and Sebestyen include at least one of the audio and video buffers is interposed between the demultiplexer and the bit stream decoder associated with it as taught by Kawamura for the added advantage of improving the quality of the system by enabling separated signals, e.g., from audiovisual content, to stay synchronized within themselves and the network when played back on a device (Kawamura –par. 22).

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 1above, and further in view of Fujii et al. (US 5,898,695).

Regarding claim 11, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to teach a data sink comprises a buffer for the intermediate storage of the received data, where an intermediate storage time of the data sink buffer is adjusted as a function of a control signal transmitted from the data source via the data line.

In an analogous art Fujii teaches the data sink comprises a buffer (71, 74 – fig. 1) for the intermediate storage of the received data, whose storage time can be adjusted as a function of the control signal 72 (col. 8, lines 4-27; col. 8, line 66-col. 9, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicants invention to modify the system Griffits and Sebestyen to include the data

sink comprises a buffer for the intermediate storage of the received data, whose storage time can be adjusted as a function of the control signal as taught by Fujii for the added advantage of preventing decoding/receiving errors caused by the inherent delays in the system by synchronizing the audio and video programs (Fujii - col. 8, line 66-col. 9, line 3).

8. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roppel.

As for claim 7, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose the data line comprises an optical data line.

In an analogous art, Roppel teaches the data line comprises an optical line (Roppel; Referring to fig. 4, when the signal is received it is initially processed by opto-electrical converter 14. Clearly, the signal is transmitted over an optical line).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Roppel, for the advantage of using hardware which can carry more information as opposed to regular cables. a further advantage would be that optical lines are less susceptible to interference.

As for claim 9, Griffits and Sebestyen fail to disclose:

the bit stream decoder decodes the compressed audio data by converting the compressed audio signal into a PCM signal.

In an analogous art, Roppel discloses:

the bit stream decoder decodes the compressed audio data by converting the compressed audio signal into a PCM (SPDIF) signal (Roppel discloses the audio data is transmitted digitally at different sampling rates. – col. 4, lines 21-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Roppel, for the advantage of converting the signal to a form which is not easily affected by noise and interference.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Griffits and Sebestyen in view of Roppel.

Claim 13 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 13 additionally discloses the following: A subscriber data source that transmits data to respective subscriber sinks on a network.

In an analogous art, Roppel discloses subscriber data source that transmits data to respective subscriber sinks on a network (fig. 1; Abstract; vol. 3, lines 1-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above

Application/Control Number: 09/890,315

Art Unit: 2421

mentioned limitation, as taught by Roppel, for the advantage of communicating multimedia data throughout a network in a vehicle, thereby providing entertainment to passenders.

As for claim 14, Griffits discloses wherein the data source comprises a device that generates the compressed source signal (RAM 25; col. 9, lines 1-12).

Claims 15-17 contains the limitations of claim 1 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim.

Claim 18 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 18 additionally discloses the following:

A device that generates the compressed source signal including compressed audio data and compressed video data (Griffits teaches the compressed audio and video signal supplied to the source filter in fig. 2 is received from source file 38 which is located in RAM 25. col. 9, lines 1-12).

10. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffits and Sebestyen as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Roppel.

Claim 20 contains the limitations of claim 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 20 additionally discloses the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/890,315 Page 13

Art Unit: 2421

Transmitting audio and video data to data sinks network (fig. 1; Abstract; vol. 3, lines 1-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Griffits and Sebestyen's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Roppel, for the advantage of communicating multimedia data throughout a network in a vehicle, thereby providing entertainment to passengers.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUMAIYA A. CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,315 Page 14

Art Unit: 2421

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sumaiya A Chowdhury/ Examiner, Art Unit 2421

/Joseph G Ustaris/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424